Regional District of Central Kootenay Floodplain and Steep Creek Study

LEGEND Ν CLEAR-WATER FLOOD SITE • • STEEP CREEK SITE CANADA/USA BORDER CLEAR WATER FLOOD BOUNDARY ELECTORAL AREA BOUNDARY STUDY AREA Kimberley KILOMETRES 117°W 116°V

BGC Field Plan June 28, 2019



Purpose of this meeting:

- Provide refresher on scope of work and BGC's team
- Provide summary of upcoming fieldwork:
 - Activities and schedule.
 - Health and Safety
- Discuss communications and land owner permissions
- Receive feedback from RDCK and answer questions

BGC will complete floodplain mapping and steep creek geohazards assessments for 16 high priority areas in 2019-2020.

| Site | Process | Code | Jurisdiction | Name |
|-------------|------------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Floodplain | Flood (6) | 340 | Village of Salmo | Salmo River |
| | | 372 | Village of Slocan | Slocan River |
| | | 393 | Town of Creston | Goat River |
| | | 408 | Electoral Area A | Crawford Creek |
| | | 379 | Village of Burton | Burton Creek |
| | | 423 | Village of Kaslo | Kaslo River |
| | Flood (4) | 212 | Electoral Area F | Duhamel Creek |
| | | 252 | Electoral Area F | Kokanee Creek |
| | | 248 | Electoral Area D | Cooper Creek |
| | | 137 | Electoral Area H | Wilson Creek |
| | Debris Flood (5) | 242 | Electoral Area E | Harrop Creek |
| Steep Creek | | 95 | Electoral Area K | Eagle Creek |
| | | 116 | Electoral Area E | Procter Creek |
| | | 251 | Electoral Area E | Redfish Creek |
| | | 238 | Electoral Area F | Sitkum Creek |
| | Debris Flow (1) | 36 | Electoral Area A | Kuskonook Creek |
| Waterbody | Flood | 422 | Multiple Jur. | Kootenay Lake |





Project Team

Regional District of Central Kootenay

Sangita Sudan (GM of Dev. Serv.) Chrystal Williams (GIS) Eileen Senyk (Planner) AJ Evenson (Senior PM)

Project Review Project Manager M. Porter (overall) M. Jakob (steep creeks, climate change) K. Holm R. Millar (hydrology) **CW Steep Creeks** Floodplain **DF Steep Creeks** C.A. Lau, M. Busslinger (TL) E. Scordo (TL) A. Akkerman (TL) P. Grover + "Go With the Flow" Team (modelling) Survey Subcontractors (Midwest, Explore) **Study Integration** K. Holm, S. Kimball

Assistant PM

S. Kimball

Geomatics PM E. Wong

GIS M. Buchanan L. Lee

Web/Database A. Beck

Client

Regional District of Central Kootenay

BGC Project Managers/Directors

Kris Holm (PM) Sarah Kimball (Assistant PM) Anna Akkerman (PM - Kootenay Reservoir Study)

"Go With The Flow" Team (BGC)

Project Review

Hamish Weatherly **Rob Millar**

Tech Lead (Overall Flood) Elisa Scordo

Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modelling

TL: Patrick Grover (TL) Melissa Hairabedian Richard Carter & Marc Olivier Trottier (Modelling & Support) Kai He (Data Scientist)



Assistant PM S. Kimball

Climate Change Pascal Szeftel

Geomatics Sophol Tran

Flood Hazards – Primary Tasks

- Hydrological inputs (regional FFA, climate data, CC scenarios)
- Channel change desktop assessment
- Topographic survey data collection (bathymetry, sections, dikes, bridges)
- Field work (discharge, grain size)
- Hydraulic modelling
- Floodplain inundation mapping



Channel Surveys – Primary Tasks

- Cross sections
- Bathymetry
- Bridges, dykes, culverts characterization
- Thalweg locations
- Merged DEM









Survey Schedule



Site

Salmo River Slocan River Burton Creek Kaslo River Crawford Creek Goat River

| Estimated No. Days | Timing |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 35 | July 2 to August 5 |
| 25 | July 2 to 26 |
| 9 | July 11 to 19 |
| 3 | July 27 to 29 |
| 15 | July 30 to August 13 |
| 8 | July 3 to 10 |

Steep creeks - Debris Floods & Debris Flows (Harrop, Eagle, Procter, Redfish, Sitkum, Kuskonook Creeks)

- Historical events
- Frequency-Magnitude Assessment (regional, site-specific)
- Hydrologic inputs (comparative FFA, climate change)
- Field work (test pits, dendrochronology, watershed fly over, field sections, GSD)
- Modelling (debris flow runout FLO2D, debris flood - sediment transport R&D)
- Composite hazard maps



- Hydrological inputs (regional FFA, climate data, CC scenarios)
- Potential topographic survey data collection (sections, dikes, bridges)
- Field work (erosion, grain size, steep creek processes)
- Hydraulic modelling
- Channel stability investigation (bank erosion, avulsion, aggradation)
- Floodplain inundation and hazard mapping



BGC Field Crews

- Floodplains:
 - Elisa Scordo and Marc Olivier Trottier (leads), Rob Millar (review)
 - Survey Crews (Explore & Midwest)
- Fans (flood):
 - Anna Akkerman and Marc Olivier Trottier (leads), Rob Millar (review)
- Fans (debris flood/flow)
 - Carie-Ann Lau and Matthias Busslinger (leads); Matthias Jakob (review)

What does steep creeks fieldwork involve?

- Field traversing by foot
 - Recording geological details related to channel processes.
 - Mapping extent of deposits to estimate magnitude of events.
 - Mapping evidence of high-water marks.
- Dendrochronology to date debris flood or debris flow events (using 5 mm diam. increment borer).
- **Test pits** will be dug with an excavator for detailed mapping of flood deposits (thickness, age).





Harrop Creek – Proposed Test Pits





- Proposed 23 location options (due to tight schedule, many different properties). Not all will be used
- Will only dig about 4 to 5 test pits to approx. 4 m depth to obtain thickness and possibly age of deposits
- Pits will be backfilled after stratigraphic logging

Eagle Creek – Proposed Test Pits





- Proposed 7 locations. Not all will be used.
- Will only dig about 4 to 5 test pits to approx. 4 m depth to obtain thickness and possibly age of deposits
- Pits will be backfilled after stratigraphic logging

Example of Typical Test Pit



Steep Creeks Field Mapping - Watershed

- Assessing geomorphic processes in watershed from helicopter reconnaissance (no ground truthing).
- Taking photos and notes from the air.





What does floodplain fieldwork involve?

- Confirming survey cross section locations and sub-reaches
- Confirming location of hydraulic structures (bridges, culverts) and flood protection measures (dikes)
- Characterizing bed and bank materials (grain size analysis)
- Conducting discharge flow measurements for model calibration





Examples of field data collection approach via Collector App, iPads.

- Hillshade of the DEM
- Points of interest
- Creek cross sections
- Roads
- Cadastral parcels
- Fan outlines
- MoTI Culverts
- Regulated dikes and dams
- Flood construction levels



Health and Safety

- Project specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP)
- Sub-contractors prequalified by BGC H&S Team
- Work in teams of 2, all staff are trained in first aid
- Kick off meeting with surveyors (week of June 24)
- Daily toolbox meetings \rightarrow complete Field Level Risk Assessment \bullet
- Journey Management Plan \rightarrow daily check-ins with Assistant PM/H&S Manager

| | ø Ø |
|---------|---|
| III ROO | GERS 🗢 9:49 AM 🤊 🏾 🔳 |
| K Me | enu Forms |
| ۹. Se | arch |
| BGC: | HEALTH AND SAFETY |
| | Active Driving Dialogue |
| = | All Terrain Vehicle Inspection |
| = | FLRA |
| | Journey Management |
| = | Light Vehicle Inspection |
| = | Safety Report |
| DETO | DUR GOLD |
| = | Action Log Detour Gold Action Log Form |
| | |

Specifics on Sub-contractor Safety Tasks

- \checkmark Pre-qualification tasks (safety record, liability insurance)
- ✓ Pre-field kick off meetings
- Daily toolbox meetings ->
 - Complete Field Level Risk Assessment (FLRA) for hazard identification that covers all areas of the study reach.
 - FLRA should be conducted at the start of each work day and, if necessary, adjustments should be made throughout the day if warranted on the basis of changing weather and/or flow conditions.



Additional Sub-Contractor Safety Tasks

- Standard PPE requirements
- Training, Swiftwater rescue
- Access routes, Landowner permissions
- Daily check-ins with BGC required

